



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,784	08/15/2001	William M. Gillon	50588/360	2550

32641 7590 11/02/2007
DIGEO, INC C/O STOEL RIVES LLP
201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100
ONE UTAH CENTER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

KHOSHNOODI, NADIA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2137

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/02/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/930,784

Applicant(s)

GILLON ET AL.

Examiner

Nadia Khoshnoodi

Art Unit

2137

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nadia Khoshnoodi.

(3) Mr. Aaron Barker.

(2) Mr. Kory Christensen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Richards US Patent No. 6,690,795.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

cf. [Signature]
[Stamp: EXAMINER'S SIGNATURE]
[Stamp: SEARCHED, SERIALIZED, INDEXED]

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Nadia Khoshnoodi 10/29/07

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' Representatives proposed amendments in order to more clearly distinguish the claimed invention over the cited prior arts of record. Specifically, Applicants' Representatives suggested amending "encryption format" to "encryption algorithm." The Examiner mentioned that, although further consideration is necessary, it seems to overcome the Richards reference. The Examiner also mentioned, however, that it may not overcome a reference previously cited (not used) Basawapatna et al., US Patent No.6,598,231 who teach that a different encryption technique may be used depending on whether the data signal is transmitted in analog/digital format in col. 7. Examiner mentioned that these amendments filed in an after-final will most likely require further search and/or consideration. Examiner will review the amendments/remarks when filed.